

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#S  
03/19/03  
AS

In Re Application of: Maneesh )  
Bahadur et al. )  
Serial No. 09/940,153 ) Group Art Unit: 1711  
Filed: 08/27/01 ) Examiner: S. McClendon  
For: Radiation Curable Compositions ) Response Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.111  
Containing Alkenyl Ether Functional )  
Polyisobutylene )  
Docket No. DC4945 ) Date: 03/04/03

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated 12/18/02, Applicants request reconsideration of the above-identified case in view of the remarks set forth below. A terminal disclaimer pursuant to 37 CFR 1.321(c) is enclosed herewith.

Remarks

Claims 1-24 are pending in the application, although claims 22-24 stand withdrawn from consideration.

Claims 1-13 and 17-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner states:

In claims 1-12 and 17-20 the applicants have employed or used improper Markush language, i.e., selected from, in defining the Markush grouping of claims 1-12 and 17-20; and have used the term "and" to link the last two members or only two members of the Markush grouping. The applicants should have used the term "or" instead. The conjunction or term "and" is used when proper Markush language is employed, i.e., "selected from the group consisting of."

FAK RECEIVED  
MAR 06 2003  
GROUP 1700